App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1932. (Mysore) File No. 100 - 32
Serial Nos. 26421

Later References.
COR. 16, 20, 24-25, [illegible]
3- 34, 42, 43(a), 79

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for April 35-

SUBJECT.

Petition protesting against a Stone tablet being put up at the entrance to the Mosque at Madravati

To The MS Sheriff - Advocate
c/o HH Station Bangalore

1350 f 25-4-35

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

State that orders already passed are final & the question cannot be [illegible]

Petition protesting against a stone-tablet being put up at the entrance to the mosque at Bhadravathi.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mug File No. 100. of 1932-5 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
	Petition dt. 11.3.25 filed by [illegible]
26	Advocate on behalf of Mr. Jamalabdin and others of Bhadravathi praying that the insertion of the stone tablet at the entrance to the mosque at that place needed be insisted upon and pending enquiry a stay order may be granted.
	Minute of the Secretary to Council on the P.U.O.:—
	1) Please note costs repeat?
	2) Permission for construction of the mosque at Bhadravathi was accorded in G.O. No. 741 / Mug 138-25-2 dt. 14.12.1925 subject to the condition that the Mahomedan residents should furnish at their own cost a stone-tablet at the main entrance of the Masjid mentioning the use of the site & the conditions referred to therein viz (i) that they would not object to the passing of processions with music playing in front of the Masjid premises and (ii) that they would not allow any burial in the premises. As seen from the above G.O. the Mahomedan residents of Bhadravathi have executed a Muchalika on 22.7.1925 that they would abide by those conditions. The matters appear to have gone smoothly till 9.5.31 on which date the complainers in petition dt. 3-5-32 [illegible] that Ava Chandikeshwara Swami Car Festival was stopped by some [illegible]

Rejected 8.5.32 Play W

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6 34

When some residents near the mosque should not proceed further. It was also brought to the notice that the Stone-tables erected on 14.12.1925 had not yet been fixed due to enhancement of the margin. The orders were passed on this file vide 1740 of 8/6/33. Later on when the Dewan went on a tour to these parts, (Vide D.C's report of 22.3.34) instructions were stated to have been passed that the erection of the Stone-tables may not be insisted upon as the presence of the same would tend to disturb the harmonious relations between the communities. On this the M.C. sought orders in confirmation of the instructions given by the Dewan. The matter was considered at a Council meeting and the decision arrived at is as follows:—

"The Stone tables may be fixed as already ordered". Action was taken accordingly & the M.C. was requested to have the Stone-tables erected. (Vide vide no 162-/Mis 100-32-21 of 5.6.34)

- Same reference is also invited to proceedings of G.O no 299/Mis 7 62-94 of 25/8/1896 & 753 of 22/12/1899) in respect of the mosques in Chitaldrug & Challakere districts.

V. Copy V.

W.

T. in the linked file

1937 Re: fixing a stone tablet in front of the Mosque at Bhadravathi —

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 100 of 1932 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

The insertion of these stone tablets is / can mean thing in the case of all new places of worship (vide pages 367 and 368 of the revised Mysore Manual). The order directing the erection of the stone tablets was passed on 14.12.1928 and after a lapse of over nine years the Mahomedans of Bhadravathi now pray that the order may be stayed & the tablet need not be inserted upon. ~~[illegible]~~ - submitted with respectful reference to the Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Department.

G.V. 14-3-35

4) The office note above explains the case. Kind reference is invited to the Instructions in Council passed on 31/5/34 as detailed below

"The stone tablet may be got fixed as already ordered." (Flag O) in the bottom file

In view of this it is for orders if the matter may be reconsidered.

15/3/35

II Member)

5) The matter was discussed in Cl + ordered as above. It remains to be

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 15 MAR 1935 No. 5705

WD 01955—GPB—22,500 -12 6 34

expedited

15/4/35 16/4/35

Mis. File No. 100 of 193 2 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Copy

No 1390 dt 25/4/1935 from Md. Shariat Advocate forwarding petition 2/
intimating that the case already passed
are final and that the question can
not be reopened.

No 1391 dt 25/4/35 to the
Mysore Commissioner forwarding copy of
the above for information.

TYPING
23. APR. 1935
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

B
8/5
Enclosed
Rs a Bill (Rs. No
dtd 5th) is detached
from papers
15
8/5



1033

Mcg 100 - 32 - 26

BEFORE THE COURT OF
[illegible]
BHADRAVATHI.

Petitioner :-

The Muslim residents of Bhadravathi.

Presented by the 2nd Sheriff Advocate - Please note with refce

The Petitioners beg to state:-

1. That they are the Muslim residents of Bhadravathi and are the most loyal subjects of His Highness the Maharaja.

2. That with the public subscription they were able to raise, they had built a Mosque in Bhadravathi by the Iqrikana Board.

3. That the Mosque is in existence for the last twelve years and is the only Mosque of its kind in Bhadravathi.

4. That at the time the Mosque is constructed a match like resolution of the Hussains that they will not object to music being played in case any procession were to pass by the Mosque. They agree to give the undertaking out of respect to the feelings of the their Hindu brethern to compromise their differences like manner and live with them in unity and concord.

5. Since the time the Mosque is in existence, the Muslims, true to their word, have adhered to their undertaking and never have, since a period of twelve years, raised any objection although music is being played before the Mosque.

6. That since how five months efforts in turn is given to the question to the Muslims es-

insisting on the terms of Mutchilka referred to above, being inscribed on a stone tablet by or in front of the Mosque.

7. The Musalmans are unable to understand how, after a lapse of so many years and when there has been no room for complaint, their Hindu brethern should have thought it necessary to adopt this attitude. The tablet required of them appears to them unnecessary and uncalled for in view of the written Mutchilka given in favour of the Government. The Muslims are constrained to say that that this redevelopment is the result of the questionable tendencies of a few, only of the Hindus. They submit, however, that the majority of the Hindus are with the Muslims for not enforcing the affixture of the stone-slab.

8. That about four months ago, when the Dewan and the Ist Member, were pleased to visit the Mosque the Muslims represented their grievances and expressed the resentment that they were feeling at this unreasonable attitude of some of their Hindu brethern. Both the high officers abovenamed explained to the Hindus about the non-desirability of their attitude and requested them not to force the issue.

9. In spite of this, however, the Hindus have got an order from the Government that the stone-slab must be fixed at the place by or in front of the Musjid.

10. The Muslims could hardly describe how pained they are at the new development and pray that immediate orders be passed rescinding the said order. They once again reiterate that they will ever abide by the terms of the Mutchilka and that they will not give any occasion to the Hindus to suspect their bonafides. But an enforcement of the order, in spite of their

their solemn undertaking in this, that he resulted and will result in curdjn their susceptibilities. They earnestly pray that the Government will be leased to interfere and hold the Hindu-subjects of Bhadrav thi.

11. They pray that pending enquiry, an order be granted against the affixing the stone.

Petitioners.



In the ~~Court~~ of the

BANGALORE.

Suit No. of 193 -193

Plaintiff/Appellant. *Defendant/Respondent.*

Petitioner/Complainant. *Counter Petitioner/Accused.*

	Vs.	

I.....do hereby appoint and retain Messrs MAHMOOD SHARIFF, B.A. LL. B., Advocate and N. K. GOPAL IYENGAR, B.A., B.L., to appear for me in the above suit/original miscellaneous petition and to conduct and prosecute (or defend) the same and all proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application for execution of any decree or order passed therein. I empower my Vakils to appear in all miscellaneous proceedings in the above suit or matter till all decrees or orders are fully satisfied or adjusted and to obtain the return of documents and draw any moneys that might be payable to me in the said suit or matter.

The address for service of the said Vakils is No. 30, Veerapillay Street C. & M Station, Bangalore.

Accepted.

ADVOCATE,
Bangalore.

Personally known to me and signed in my presence.

Dated,.....193

In the Court of the.....

BANGALORE.

Suit No. Of 193

Plaintiff/Petitioner.

Vs.

Defendant/Cr. Petitioner.

MEMO.

VAKALATANAMA.

Filed on.....193

Messrs Mahmood Shariff, B.A., LL.B.,
and
N K. Gopala Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
Legal Practitioners,
30. Veerapillay Street, C. & M. Station,
BANGALORE.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd April 1935

No. 1390

From Mys 100-32-2

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

Mr. Mahmood Shariff, B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate,
30, Veerapillay Street,
C & M Station, Bangalore.

Sir,
Dear Sir,

With reference to petition presented by you before the Second Member of Council on 11th March 1935 on behalf of Mr. Jainulabdin and other Mahomedan residents of Bhadravathi praying for a reconsideration of the orders passed about the fixing of a stone tablet in a conspicuous place at the entrance of the mosque at Bhadravathi, I am directed to intimate that the orders already passed are final and that the question cannot be re-opened.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- R. Ranga Rao,
Chief Secretary to Government.

p.t.o.

TRM.4

xamine y ....

WD 0677—G P B—15,000—8.11.34

Memo No. /351 Revn Bangalore, dated 23rd 25th April 1935.

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, for information and necessary action.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Government
GS

Appendix F' G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

4 Colours

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

ate of issue 21-4-35

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section Mill

File No. 100-32

Serial No. 27

No. 1390

To Mr Md. Shariff BA LLB.
Advocate. 30. Veera palei
Street & C & M. Station.
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

With reference to the petition presented before the Secretary/Member/Financial by you/ on 11/3/35 on behalf of Mr Taimulabdin & other Mahomedan residents praying for reconsideration of Bhadravathi proceedings of the Commissioner about the fixing of a Stone-tablet in a conspicuous place at the entrance of the mosque at Bhadravathi, I am directed to intimate that the Dasara Vacation passed the final

13

Am/The question Cannot be responsible

Yours faithfully

22/4

~~Forbes Sec~~

139/Inde/ 25-4-35

Copy to the M.C. for information.

& Necessary Action

B O

22/4

Forbes Sec